# Genetic diversity within Swedish national heritage cultivars of gooseberry, Ribes uva-crispa 

Genetisk diversitet hos nationella mandatsorter av krusbär, Ribes uva-crispa

Josefine Nordlander

Genetic diversity within Swedish national heritage cultivars of gooseberry, Ribes uvacrispa

Genetisk diversitet hos nationella mandatsorter av krusbär, Ribes uva-crispa

Josefine Nordlander

| Supervisor: | Larisa Gustavsson, SLU, Department of Plant <br> Breeding |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assistant supervisor: | Jonas Skytte af Sätra, SLU, Department of Plant Breeding |
| Assistant supervisor: | Helena Mattisson, SLU, Department of Plant Breeding |
| Examiner: | Helena Persson Hovmalm, SLU, Department of Plant Breeding |
| Credits: | 30 hp |
| Level: | Second cycle, A2E |
| Course title: | Independent project in Horticultural Science, A2E |
| Course code: | EX0857 |
| Programme: | Horticultural Science Programme |


| Place of publication: | Alnarp |
| :--- | :--- |
| Year of publication: | 2021 |

## Keywords:

genetic diversity, heterozygosity, molecular characterization, PCoA, pomological heritage, Ribes grossularia, Ribes uva-crispa, SSR markers

## Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Faculty of Landscape Architecture, Horticulture and Crop Production Science
Department of Plant Breeding


#### Abstract

In the year 2000, the National program for diversity of cultivated plants (Pom) was established, aiming to recover old, Swedish fruit and berry cultivars that historically have been important for breeding and commercial production. A collection of mandate cultivars of Ribes uva-crispa (gooseberry) containing valuable accessions was created. Currently, 16 accessions from the mandate list are missing in the collection and missing mandate cultivars could be found through a national inventory of plant resources. In this study, mandate cultivars and inventory findings collected by Pom, were analyzed though molecular characterization (SSR-markers), to find duplicates, mislabelings and to analyze the genetic variability of the collection.

The present work uncovered several cases of duplication among the inventory findings and one, possible case of mislabeling. All the mandate cultivars had unique SSR-profiles, which was expected. Furthermore, of the 15 SSR markers used, 6 markers are particularly effective at describing the variability of the gooseberry germplasm. The PCoA analysis revealed the presence of a relatively more homogenous group among the analyzed plant material. These accessions display more genetic similarities, compared to the rest of the analyzed plants.

The data constitutes an important basis for evaluation and addition of accessions to the present collection of mandate cultivars and for removal of duplicates among the inventory findings.


## Sammanfattning

Under år 2000 grundades Programmet för odlad mångfald, (Pom), med syftet att bevara gamla kultursorter av bland annat frukt och bär. För att en sort ska bevaras behöver den dels inneha ett kulturhistoriskt värde, dels måste sorten ha varit viktig för Sverige antingen i förädlingssammanhang eller i kommersiell produktion. På denna grund valde man ut ett antal krusbärssorter som fick benämningen mandatsorter och de sorter som kunde hittas och valideras fick ingå i en samling i Alnarp (SLU). För tillfället saknas dock 16 accessioner från mandatlistan i samlingen. Äldre, saknade sorter kan hittas genom nationella inventeringar, där man samlar in intressant växtmaterial för analys. I denna studie genomfördes en molekylär karaktärisering med hjälp av SSR-markörer av mandatsorterna i samlingen och de inventeringsfynd som Pom samlat in. Målet med analysen var att hitta duplikat eller felmärkningar samt analysera samlingens genetiska variabilitet.

Studien visade att det fanns ett flertal duplikat bland inventeringsfynden och ett fall av felmärkning. Alla mandatsorter hade unika SSR-profiler, vilket var förväntat. Det visade sig också att av de 15 SSR markörer som användes, så var 6 markörer särskilt effektiva för att beskriva variabiliteten i arvsmassan hos krusbär. PCoA analysen visade att det fanns en relativt homogen grupp bland det analyserade växtmaterialet. Dessa accessioner visade på större genetiska likheter i jämförelse med resten av de analyserade krusbärsbuskarna.

Resultaten från denna studie kan ligga till grund för utvärdering av samlingen av inventeringsfynd, borttagning av duplikat och eventuell addering av accessioner till den nuvarande samlingen av mandatsorter.

Nyckelord: genetisk diversitet, heterozygositet, molekulär karakterisering, PCoA, kultursorter, Ribes grossularia, Ribes uva-crispa, SSR markörer
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## Abbreviations

| EPS | Swedish Elite Plant Station |
| :--- | :--- |
| PCR | Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| Pom | National program for diversity of cultivated plants |
| SSR | Simple Sequence Repeat |

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. History of Ribes L.

Ribes L. is a genus within the plant family Grossulariaceae where black currant ( $R$. nigrum), red currant ( $R$. rubrum) and gooseberry ( $R$. uva-crispa or R. grossularia) belong. There are about 150 known species of currants and gooseberries, mainly found in temperate regions of Europe and North America. European gooseberry species R. uva-crispa (synonym R. grossularia) is more distributed across the world than the North American species R. hirtellum (Anastasiadi et al. 2016; Pluta 2018). Ribes uva-crispa is a small bush approximately 1.0-1.8 meters tall and 1.0-1.2 meters wide. Most of the gooseberry cultivars have spines on their branches and their leaves are small and hairy with three to five lobes. The flowers are green, sometimes with pink tendencies, and form clusters of two to three flowers. The berries are oval, the color varies from white to yellow, green, or red, and they have a hairy or smooth surface (Heiberg 2003; Pluta 2018).

According to Nilsson (1958), the domestication of berries in Europe probably began in the Middle Ages, but the cultivation of berries became more common in the $18^{\text {th }}$ century. The first Swedish record of gooseberry cultivation is from 1638 (Norstedt 1920). Even though gooseberry can be found naturally in Sweden, it is not considered an indigenous species in contrast to red and black currant which are indigenous to Scandinavia.

Foreign gooseberry cultivars became important in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century, and Sweden began to import cultivars from Great Britain, where horticultural practices and knowledge were more advanced, and North America, where cultivars resistant to powdery mildew could be found. Many imported cultivars also had higher yields and tastier berries. A serious outbreak of powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca mors-uvae) in Sweden 1901 (Hjalmarsson \& Wallace 2007), resulted in a drastic decrease in the cultivation of gooseberries.

At the beginning of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, C. G. Dahl initiated a breeding program at Alnarp, focusing on developing disease-resistant cultivars suitable for the changing growth conditions. North American gooseberry cultivars, resistant to powdery mildew, were imported and crossed with the European species R. uva-crispa. The imported, North American cultivars 'Houghton' and 'Mountain' originate from crosses between $R$. hirtellum, a wild, disease-resistant gooseberry species native to Canada, and the European species R. uva-crispa (Hjalmarsson \& Wallace 2007). Information about C. G. Dahls work was unfortunately not well preserved and parental lines got lost along the way. It is however known that the very popular cultivars 'Scania' and 'Dr. Törnmarck' were a result of C. G. Dahls extensive breeding work. During the 1940s, cultivar trials were conducted at 10 different locations in Sweden, focusing on hardiness and yield (Hjalmarsson \& Wallace 2007). The very popular cultivar 'Jacob' was marketed in 1979 and is a cross between the hybrid 'Whitesmith' x R. oxyacanthoides and the cultivar R. uva-crispa 'Achilles'. 'Jacob' is known to be both hardy and resistant to powdery mildew.

### 1.2. Conservation of pomological heritage

In the year 2000, the National program for diversity of cultivated plants (Pom) was established, aiming to recover old, Swedish fruit and berry cultivars that have been important in breeding and commercial production (Hjalmarsson, 2020). Important cultivars that are a part of Sweden's pomological heritage are called mandate cultivars. As a result of Pom's efforts, the Swedish National Gene Bank was established in 2016. A collection of mandate cultivars of Ribes was created with the aim to prevent loss of genetic variability and to conserve the valuable germplasm. Moreover, these old Swedish cultivars are also valuable to maintain due to their high cultural values.

The Swedish National Gene Bank keeps the mandate cultivars for long-term conservation in their central collection at Alnarp, along with inventory findings waiting to be analyzed. A virus-free backup of each mandate cultivar is kept at the Swedish Elite Plant Station (EPS) in Kristianstad. Furthermore, 32 gooseberry cultivars are kept in the field collection at Balsgård outside Kristianstad, which is a part of the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU).

A cultivar can only be assigned as a mandate cultivar if it originates from Sweden, either as a chance seedling or as a result of conscious breeding, or if it has been cultivated in the
country for a long time (Hjalmarsson and Wallace, 2004). Historical or cultural values are important parts when deciding which cultivars should be denoted as Swedish mandate cultivars.

The selection of accessions to be included in a collection can also be based on the output of phenotypic and molecular characterization. During the phenotypic characterization, different plant traits are evaluated and documented. It includes both morphological characterstics and agronomic traits such as hardiness, fruit production, fruit quality, and disease resistance (Karhu et al. 2007; Karhu et al. 2012). Environmentally induced variation can, however, alter the phenotypic characters, which sometimes makes identification difficult (Garkava-Gustavsson et al. 2008). Molecular characterization can uncover duplicates among the accessions, secure variety purity, and trueness-to-type; it can give an indication of which accessions would contribute to a maximum amount of genetic variation in a core collection and estimate the genetic relationships among accessions. Molecular marker technology, such as SSR markers, can be used for molecular characterizations of germplasm collections (Karhu et al. 2007; Garkava-Gustavsson et al. 2008; Testolin \& Cipriani 2010; Sehic et al. 2012; Karhu et al. 2012; Yu et al. 2012; Mengistu et al. 2016).

The current list of mandate gooseberry cultivars comprises 35 cultivars and has been determined in advance, based on literary studies (Hjalmarsson 2020). The mandate cultivars that were found earlier have been phenotypically identified and added to the collection in Alnarp. However, several mandate cultivars are yet to be found. Missing cultivars could be found through national inventory of plant resources, but some are harder to trace than others. Names can easily be forgotten, especially if a cultivar only has been cultivated in a restricted, geographical area. It is more likely that cultivars with good resistance to diseases remain in cultivation, and names of such cultivars are therefore more frequently mentioned in literature.

Currently, 16 accessions from the mandate list are missing in the collection and missing mandate cultivars could be found through national inventory of plant resources.

The National gene bank in Sweden has mainly collected gooseberry accessions from the older collections in Alnarp and Balsgård. Many accessions have also been collected through Pom's inventory project. These "inventory findings" come from private gardens and are unidentified cultivars. The collection of inventory findings hopefully contains missing mandate cultivars or new interesting accessions that can be added to both the
collection and the mandate list. The inventory findings, therefore, need to be identified and validated to secure trueness-to type.

Apart from detection of possible synonyms, molecular characterization can assign an unlabeled accession a cultivar epithet or give information about which accessions that might be mislabeled. It is also possible that cultivars mutate, while keeping the same name, or that they are seedlings displaying a different genotype compared to the mother plant. Many cultivars that are imported from other countries are also given a new name in the native language, which might complicate the identification (Hjalmarsson 2020; Karhu et al. 2007).

By conservation of mandate cultivars of berries and fruit trees, the loss of genetic resources can be prevented, and will lead to an increase in biodiversity. Rich biodiversity strengthens the ability of species to resist diseases and pests, changes in climate, and other factors affecting the survival of a population (Sunderland 2011). A high genetic variability will increase the fitness of a species. The collection of mandate cultivars can become a source of favorable alleles that can be utilized in breeding programs and traits relevant for local adaptation can be maintained. The mandate cultivars are also an important part of our history and cultivars that are not preserved will vanish.

### 1.3. Molecular markers

Molecular markers have revolutionized the ability to characterize germplasm and identify traits and their inheritance. Genetic markers can be divided into three classes; markers based on morphological and agronomic traits (visual), markers based on gene products (biochemical), or markers requiring a DNA assay (molecular). Molecular markers may, or may not, have a biological effect (Khan 2015).

There are several molecular marker methods such as amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFPL), inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSRs), single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) (Grover \& Sharma 2016). SSRs, also known as microsatellites, are short, nucleotide motifs of 1-6 bases, widely distributed in all genomes (Grover \& Sharma 2016; Lanham \& Brennan 1998). They can be amplified by PCR, using locus-specific oligonucleotide primers. The PCR product can then be visualized by electrophoresis on a high-resolution agarose gel (Grover \& Sharma 2016; Litt \& Luty 1989) or by capillary electrophoresis (Rubtsova et al. 2016). Different
marker methods have different features, and thus the application determines which marker system should be used. In 1999, Lanham \& Brennan analyzed the genetic diversity among gooseberries using molecular markers such as ISSRs and AFLPs. However, these marker systems produce dominant markers and thus cannot differentiate between homo- and heterozygous loci, an important aspect when analyzing genetic variability. SSR markers, on the other hand, are reliable and easy to use, codominant and effective in detecting polymorphism (Antonius et al. 2011; Grover \& Sharma 2016). The high information content of SSRs have made them popular when analyzing genetic diversity, identifying synonyms, and possible genetic relatedness (Cavanna et al. 2009). Especially in diploid species, such as Ribes. In 2002, Brennan et al. were able to identify 11 polymorphic SSR markers in the Ribes species, which have proved very useful. In 2017 Mezhnina \& Urbanovich proposed another set of SSR-markers that could be used to identify cultivars of gooseberry and black currant. SSR-markers have been used previously to study the national collection of fruits and berries, especially in apple, pear and black currant (Antonius et al. 2011; Garkava-Gustavsson et al. 2008; Garkava-Gustavsson et al. 2013; Sehic et al. 2012).

Black currant (Ribes nigrum L.) is the most commercially important species in the Ribes genus and thus is more studied than related species such as gooseberries (Brennan et al. 2002). One of the most damaging and serious pests of black currant is the gall mite (Cecidophyopsis ribis). This pest can be controlled by the introgression of resistance genes from other Ribes species. In R. uva-crispa, the resistance towards C. ribis is controlled by a single gene, $C e$. Breeding programs for black currant have therefore attempted to develop molecular markers linked to the $C e$ gene in gooseberry (Brennan et al. 2009; Mezhnina \& Urbanovich 2017).

## 2. Aim

This project aims to evaluate the status of the Swedish national collection of gooseberry heritage cultivars and analyze inventory findings from Pom by molecular characterization, using SSR markers. Duplicates and possible mislabelings in the collection and among the inventory findings kept at Alnarp, Balsgård and Pom will be therefore revealed. The results from this study will be useful when searching for old, missing mandate cultivars and will contribute to a well-cured Swedish collection of national heritage cultivars of gooseberry, Ribes uva-crispa.

## 3. Materials and methods

### 3.1. Plant material

Branches from both verified, mandate gooseberry cultivars, un-named and un-identified inventory findings were collected from collections in Alnarp, Balsgård, the Elite Plant Station (EPS), local clonal archives (Sofiero slottsträdgård, Bergianska trädgården) and private gardens. The studied accessions in this project are listed in Appendix 1. The total number of analyzed plants was 105 .

### 3.2. DNA extraction

Branches were collected in February and March and put in glass bottles filled with water and a liquid fertilizer Chrysal White at a ratio of $200: 1$ with a plastic cover to initiate bud bursting. Leaf material was collected from 105 gooseberry accessions. Most of the leaf material was collected in tubes and lyophilized, but the first DNA extractions were carried out using juvenile, fresh leaf material since it took several days for the lyophilizer to freezedry the samples. The lyophilized material was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Genomic DNA from 80 - 100 mg fresh leaf material or 20 mg lyophilized tissue was isolated with DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Quiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA purity and concentration were measured on a NanoDrop (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc.). The samples were then separated through gel electrophoresis using $1.5 \%$ agarose gel and TAE buffer (Tris-Acetate-EDTA), stained with ethidium bromide, to confirm that no degradation of the DNA occurred during extraction. The gel was run for 40 minutes at 100 V. The DNA was then visualized under UV light.

DNA was diluted with Milli-Q water to the concentration of 20-40ng/ $\mu \mathrm{l}$, with a total amount of $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$, for immediate analysis. Residual DNA was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later use.

### 3.3. SSR analysis

The markers were chosen based on previous publications where they were reported to detect polymorphism in currants and gooseberries (Droz et al., 2019; Gunnarsson 2009; Mezhnina \& Urbanovich 2017; Palmieri et al. 2013; Pikunova et al. 2015) and through personal communication with Dr. E. Droz (Agroscope, Switzerland). In this study, 15 primer pairs were chosen and analyzed for their ability to amplify different SSR loci (Table 1). All the forward primers had a specific fluorescent tag (6FAM, PET, HEX, NED).

Table 1. Primer pairs used to amplify the SSR loci.

| SSR locus | Size range | Forward sequence | Reverse sequence |  | Fluorescent <br> dye | Annealing <br> temperature |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e1-O01 | $131-160$ | CCTTTCCAGAGAAAACTCAAACA | AAGTATGGGAACAACGGCAG | 6FAM | 60 |  |
| e1-O21 | $290-318$ | TCTCTCCAACTGAGAAGGAAAA | GATTTGTTCTTGTGCAGCGA | 6FAM | 54 |  |
| e4-D03 | $160-238$ | CCCAAAAGCAAATTTAGGGT | GTGAGGCATGGAACCACTTT | PET | 58 |  |
| g1-A01 | $205-254$ | CGAAGGTTGAATCGGTGAGT | CGTAGCCACGTAGTTCCACA | HEX | 60 |  |
| g1-K04 | $277-298$ | TGTTCCCTGTTTCCTTCAAAA | GGACGTGGACGATGAGAGTT | 6FAM | 58 |  |
| g1-M07 | $199-232$ | TCCGTTACTGGAGTGGTGT | CCATGGTTTTCCGATTTGTT | PET | 48 |  |
| g2-B20 | $139-191$ | CTCCATCAAATCCCTCGTTT | TCTTGCTTCCCAAACAGTATCA | PET | 54 |  |
| g2-G12 | $164-194$ | GTGACCCACCTAAACCGTCC | GGAGTGGAGGGTTGGAAAAT | 6FAM | 62 |  |
| g2-H21 | $238-272$ | TGCCCTTTTTGGTCATTTTC | CAATCGTCGATGAAGGTCTG | HEX | 54 |  |
| g2-J08 | $140-181$ | CGCCGAGCTCTAATCACTGT | ATAGCCCATGCCCATATTCA | NED | 60 |  |
| g2-L17 | $114-166$ | TTTGGAAAACCTCCCCTTT | GAGCTGTTGCTGTTGCCATA | HEX | 56 |  |
| gr2-J05 | $160-186$ | CAAAACTGATTAGGGATCA | TTTGAAGAAGAGATGGCGAAA | HEX | 54 |  |
| MTT-5 | $144-244$ | GCGATTCCATTACGACACTTTGCA | ATAGGCAAGCATCACCTCACC | NED | 52 |  |
| RJL-7 | $200-238$ | TCCCGTTACTGGAGTGGTGT | CCATGGTTTTCCGATTTGTT | NED | 50 |  |
| RJL-11 | $215+$ | CGAAGGTTGAATCGGTGAGT | TTGTGAGCCGTAACCACGTA | PET | 56 |  |

A DreamTaq PCR Mastermix (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc.) was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. The mastermix and the DNA were loaded onto a PCR plate and DNA amplification was performed in a PCR machine (VWR Unocycler, VWR International or Eppendorf Mastercycler ep gradient S., Eppendorf) with a specific PCR program for each primer pair (Table 1). The first 5 samples of each plate were amplified twice. Those samples where the amplification failed were run again in the second plate, together with the remaining DNA samples and 5 reference samples from the first run. Two PCR runs for each primer pair were needed to include all the DNA samples. The results from the repeated samples were compared to assure consistency of the results.

The amplification of the PCR products was verified on a $1.5 \%$ agarose gel together with O'RangeRuler 50 bp DNA ladder (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc.).

After amplification, the PCR products were diluted with Milli-Q water and separated by capillary electrophoresis ( 3500 Series Genetic Analyzer, ThermoFisher Scientific Inc.). A test run with 8 samples was performed prior to the final analyses to adjust the concentration of the PCR products to appropriate levels.

### 3.4. Statistical analysis/Data analysis

The data from the capillary electrophoresis were processed with GeneMarker 3.0.1 software (SoftGenetics LLC). The markers were first called automatically and thereafter curated manually, assuming that all analyzed accessions were diploid. Lynch \& Ritland's estimator of pair-wise relatedness was calculated in Excel using the genetic analysis software GenAlEx 6 (Peakall \& Smouse 2006). The marker calls of these samples were double-checked in GeneMarker and errors were corrected. Duplicates were identified by calculating the genetic distance and were then excluded from further analysis. Based on the output data, the samples were classified into four different categories based on the classification system proposed by de Andrés et al (2007);

Class 1 - Single samples with a unique SSR-profile.
Class 2 - Samples with similar names sharing the same SSR-profile.
Class 3 - Samples with different names sharing the same SSR-profile (known synonyms or sports).

Class 4 - Samples with the same/similar names having different profiles (4a) or different samples sharing the same SSR-profile (4b) (putative cases of mislabeling).

The marker status was evaluated and allele frequencies for each locus were calculated and presented in a bar chart.

The genetic diversity was estimated by calculating allele frequencies for each locus (A), expected heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$, and observed heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$. Expected heterozygosity is the probability that a randomly selected individual is heterozygous at a given locus under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Nei 1973; Alexandre \& DeGiorgio 2017). This was calculated in GenAlex, using the following equation:

$$
H_{\mathrm{e}}=1-\sum\left(p_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Observed heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is calculated by dividing the number of heterozygous individuals by the total number of individuals.

Fixation index $(F)$ (providing a value between -1 and +1 ) was also calculated for each locus and averaged over all loci. Positive values indicate inbreeding or undetected null alleles and negative values indicate an excess of heterozygosity. The fixation index is expected to lie close to zero if random mating occurs in the population (Wright, 1965).

After duplicates were excluded, a genetic distance matrix was used as input for a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). A PCoA plot was created and used to evaluate a potential genetic structure and discover similarities or dissimilarities among the analyzed genotypes.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. SSR-fingerprints

In some cases, it was not possible to identify any peaks in the electropherogram. The following 10 accessions and inventory findings had missing values at 1-6 loci; 'Flottskär gröna’, Flottskär röda’, 'Houghton', 'Lilla busken - Släta bär’, 'POM okänd 2', 'Sandgren', 'Scania', 'Sofiero 4', 'Worchesterberry' and ‘Ångermanlands röda'. They were therefore excluded from PCoA, genetic diversity analyses, and classification with the de Andrés classification system.

The SSR-profiles of all 105 studied accessions are presented in Appendix 1.

### 4.2. Identification of cultivars and duplicates

Following the de Andrés classification system, 60 accessions were assigned class 1 (single samples with a unique SSR-profile). Three pairs (6 accessions) had similar names and similar profiles and were therefore assigned class 2 . No accession was assigned class 3 (known synonyms/sports). Three pairs (6 accessions) had similar names, but different profiles and were therefore assigned class 4a. Finally, 24 cultivars were attributed as mislabeled or identical to other accessions (class 4b). The classification of all studied accessions and inventory findings is shown in Table 2 . All of the mandate cultivars included in the collection at Alnarp had individual SSR-profiles, or shared SSR-profile with an un-labelled inventory find.

The accessions where 1-6 loci failed to amplify were excluded from the statistical analyses and classification, except for 'Worchesterberry', which was not excluded from the classification. In this case, the amount of the data was sufficient to be able to make a fair comparison with the accession "Worchester."

### 4.2.1. Class 1 - Single samples with unique SSR-profiles

Of 96 compared accessions and inventory findings, 60 had unique SSR-profiles.

The following inventory findings had unique SSR-profiles: 'POM 1', 'POM 2', 'POM 5', 'POM 7’, 'POM 9' 'POM 10', 'POM 11', 'POM 13', 'POM 14', 'POM 15', 'POM 17’, 'POM 19', 'POM 22', 'POM 23', 'POM 24', 'POM 25', 'POM 27', 'POM 28', 'POM 30', 'POM 31', 'POM 33', ‘POM 37’, 'POM 39', 'Björn K.', 'Frick', 'Hönings Früheste', 'Invicta’, 'Kalvstorp', 'Catharina Ohlenberg', 'Kerstin M.', 'Kopparbro', 'Kozachok', 'Landströms gröna - Avvikande', 'Linda', 'Linköping', 'Lottas', 'Mette P.', 'Perle von Münchenberg', 'POM gården 1', 'POM gården 2', 'POM okänd 1', 'Resistenta', 'Robustenta’, 'Ronja', ‘Roskil', 'Smiling beauty’, 'Snickarmoa', 'Sofiero 3', 'Sofiero 5', 'Spine free', 'Stora busken - Päronträd', 'Söderbrunn', 'Trasher white', 'Villa Virginia', 'Åsgatan', 'Österhammarsbruk' and 'Övertjärn'.

The four mandate cultivars, ‘Aaron', ‘Dr. Törnmark', 'Jacob’ and 'London' also displayed unique SSR-profiles.

### 4.2.2. Class 2 - Samples with similar names sharing the same SSRprofile

Class 2 cultivars are described as several cultivars with the same name, from different locations that share the same SSR-profile. The mandate cultivars 'Bloodhound' and 'Landströms gröna' were collected from both Balsgård and Alnarp and the mandate cultivar 'Mountain' was collected from EPS and Alnarp. Both accessions of each cultivar had identical SSR-profiles.

### 4.2.3. Class 3 - Samples with different names sharing the same SSRprofile

Known synonyms or sports are divided into class 3. Since no known synonym/sport was found in this project, no cultivar was assigned class 3.

### 4.2.4. Class 4 - Mislabelings, duplicates, and deviating samples

Class 4 is divided into two subgroups. Class 4a refers to accessions with the same or similar name, having different profiles. 'Worchester' from POM and 'Worchesterberry' from Balsgård have similar names but did not share the same SSR-profile. So was also the case
with the mandate cultivar 'Achilles' (Balsgård) and 'Akilles' (Alnarp). The cultivar 'Alicant' was collected from both Alnarp and Balsgård, but these accessions had different SSR-profiles.

Class 4b refers to different accessions sharing the same SSR-profile. 'POM 3', 'POM 20', 'POM 34', 'Hinnonmäen keltainen' (mandate cultivar), 'Olavi', 'Smedjebacken' had similar SSR-profile, but 'POM 3' differed by being homozygous at one locus.
'POM 4', 'POM 38' had almost identical SSR-profiles, but 'POM 38' was heterozygous at one locus where 'POM 4' was homozygous.
'POM 8', 'POM 16', 'POM 35', 'Industry' and 'Sofiero 7' had identical SSR-profiles, but 'POM 16' differed from the rest by one base pair in one allele at one locus

The following pairs are possible synonyms, displaying identical SSR-profiles; 'POM 6' and 'POM 9', 'POM 12' and 'POM 29', 'POM 32' and 'POM 36', 'Sofiero 6' and 'Stora busken - Landet'. The three accessions 'POM 26', 'POM 40' and 'POM 41' do also have identical SSR-profiles.

Table 2 - Studied accessions and sampling locality, classified into four different categories based on the classification system used by de Andrés et al (2007). Class 1 - Single accessions with a unique SSR-profile. Class 2-Accessions with similar names sharing the same SSR-profile. Class 3-Accessions with different names sharing the same SSR-profile (known synonyms or sports). Class 4 - Accessions with the same or similar name having different profiles (4a), or accessions with different names with SSR-profile identical to at least one other accession (4b). The asterisk (*) denotes accessions that differ in only one allele when compared to SSR-profiles of at least one other accession. The cultivars denoted with "-", were excluded from the comparison.

| Analysed accession |  | Location | Class | Analysed accession |  | Location | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | POM 1 | POM | 1 | 54 | Hönings Früheste | EPS | 1 |
| 2 | POM 2 | POM | 1 | 55 | Industry | EPS | 4b |
| 3 | POM 3 | POM | 4b* | 56 | Invicta | EPS | 1 |
| 4 | POM 4 | POM | 4b | 57 | Jacob | Alnarp | 1 |
| 5 | POM 5 | POM | 1 | 58 | Kalvstorp | External | 1 |
| 6 | POM 6 | POM | 4 b | 59 | Catharina Ohlenburg | External | 1 |
| 7 | POM 7 | POM | 1 | 60 | Kerstin M. | Alnarp | 1 |
| 8 | POM 8 | POM | 4 b | 61 | Kopparbro | External | 1 |
| 9 | POM 9 | POM | 4 b | 62 | Kozachok | Balsgård | 1 |
| 10 | POM 10 | POM | 1 | 63 | Landströms gröna | Balsgård | 2 |
| 11 | POM 11 | POM | 1 | 64 | Landströms gröna | Alnarp | 2 |
| 12 | POM 12 | POM | 4b | 65 | Landströms gröna (Avvikande) | Alnarp | 1 |
| 13 | POM 13 | POM | 1 | 66 | Lilla busken - Släta bär | External | - |
| 14 | POM 14 | POM | 1 | 67 | Linda | EPS | 1 |
| 15 | POM 15 | POM | 1 | 68 | Linköping | Alnarp | 1 |
| 16 | POM 16 | POM | $4 \mathrm{~b}^{*}$ | 69 | London | Balsg. | 1 |
| 17 | POM 17 | POM | 1 | 70 | Lottas | POM | 1 |
| 18 | POM 19 | POM | 1 | 71 | Mette P. | POM | 1 |
| 19 | POM 20 | POM | 4b | 72 | Mountain (Alnarp) | Alnarp | 2 |
| 20 | POM 22 | POM | 1 | 73 | Mountain (EPS) | Balsgård | 2 |
| 21 | POM 23 | POM | 1 | 74 | Olavi | External | 4 b |
| 22 | POM 24 | POM | 1 | 75 | Perle von Münchenberg | Balsgård | 1 |
| 23 | POM 25 | POM | 1 | 76 | POM gården 1 | POM | 1 |
| 24 | POM 26 | POM | 4 b | 77 | POM gården 2 | POM | 1 |
| 25 | POM 27 | POM | 1 | 78 | POM okänd 1 | POM | 1 |
| 26 | POM 28 | POM | 1 | 79 | POM okänd 2 | POM | - |
| 27 | POM 29 | POM | 4 b | 80 | Resistenta | Balsgård | 1 |
| 28 | POM 30 | POM | 1 | 81 | Robustenta | Balsgård | 1 |
| 29 | POM 31 | POM | 1 | 82 | Ronja | Balsgård | 1 |
| 30 | POM 32 | POM | 4b | 83 | Roskil | Balsgård | 1 |
| 31 | POM 33 | POM | 1 | 84 | Sandgren | POM | - |
| 32 | POM 34 | POM | 4b | 85 | Scania | EPS | - |
| 33 | POM 35 | POM | 4 b | 86 | Smedjebacken | POM | 4 b |
| 34 | POM 36 | POM | 4b | 87 | Smiling beauty | Balsgård | 1 |
| 35 | POM 37 | POM | 1 | 88 | Snickarmoa | Alnarp | 1 |
| 36 | POM 38 | POM | 4b* | 89 | Sofiero 3 | POM | 1 |
| 37 | POM 39 | POM | 1 | 90 | Sofiero 4 | POM | - |
| 38 | POM 40 | POM | 4b | 91 | Sofiero 5 | POM | 1 |
| 39 | POM 41 | POM | 4 b | 92 | Sofiero 6 | POM | 4 b |
| 40 | Achilles | Balsgård | 4 a | 93 | Sofiero 7 | POM | 4 b |
| 41 | Akilles | Alnarp | 4 a | 94 | Spine free | Balsgård | 1 |
| 42 | Alicant | Balsgård | 4 a | 95 | Stor buske - Päronträd | External |  |
| 43 | Alicant | Alnarp | 4 a | 96 | Stora busken - Landet | External | 4 b |
| 44 | Aaron | Alnarp | 1 | 97 | Söderbrunn | POM | 1 |
| 45 | Björn K. | Alnarp | 1 | 98 | Trasher white | Balsgård | 1 |
| 46 | Bloodhound | Balsgård | 2 | 99 | Villa Virginia | Alnarp | 1 |
| 47 | Bloodhound | Alnarp | 2 | 100 | Worchester | POM | 4 a |
| 48 | Dr. Törnmarck | Balsgård | 1 | 101 | Worchesterberry | Balsgård | 4 a |
| 49 | Flottskär gröna | External | - | 102 | Ångermanlands röda | EPS | - |
| 50 | Flottskär röda | External | - | 103 | Åsgatan | External | 1 |
| 51 | Frick | Alnarp | 1 | 104 | Österhammarsbruk | External | 1 |
| 52 | Hinnonmäen keltainen | Balsgård | 4b | 105 | Övertjärn | External | 1 |
| 53 | Houghton | Alnarp | - |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3. Marker status and allele frequencies

The number of alleles (Na) and allele frequencies varied among different loci (Table 4 and Appendix 2).

The locus MTT-5 had a higher number of alleles (24) with allele frequencies ranging from 0.006 to 0.268 , compared to other loci. Markers such as g1-K04, RLJ-11, RLJ-7, g1-A01, and g1-M07 did also display high variability. Markers g2-B20, g2-L17, e1-021, g2-J08, gr2-J05, g2-H21, and e1-O01 had 2-3 alleles with high frequency.

For the three markers g2-B20, e1-021, and g2-G12, the population was almost fixated for 1-2 alleles.

The SSR markers e4-D03, g1-A01, g1-K04, g1-M07, g2-B20, g2-G12, g2-L17, gr2-J05 and MTT-5 failed to amplify some alleles and thus accessions with missing alleles had to be excluded from statistical analysis.

All repeated samples, which purpose was to assure consistency of the results, had the same allele size and composition as the original sample. Several cases of discrepancy were, however found in the allele size and allele composition when some of the data from this study was compared to the data from a previous study by Gunnarsson (2009) (Table 3). The differences in size of the amplified fragments were 1-25 base pairs. The cultivars 'Achilles' (MTT-5), and 'Hönings früheste' (RLJ-7) were attributed as triploid in Gunnarsson's study and were excluded from comparison. The cultivars 'Invicta' (MTT-5) and 'Hönings Früheste' (RLJ-11) were homozygous in this study, but heterozygous in Gunnarsson's study, while 'Worchesterberry' (MTT-5 and RLJ-7) was homozygous in Gunnarsson's study and heterozygous in this study.

Table 3. Data comparison between this study and a previous study by Gunnarsson (2009), displaying the allele composition and allele size in loci MTT-5, RLJ-7, and RLJ-11. Missing values are denoted mv. "b.p. dif." denote the differences in allele size between this and the previous study.

| Accession | MTT-5 <br> This <br> study | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gunnarsson } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | b.p.dif. | RLJ-7 This study | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gunnarsson } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | b.p.dif. | RLJ-11 <br> This study | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gunnarsson } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | b.p.dif. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achilles | 201/238 | 202/204/243 |  | 205/205 | 210/210 | -5 | 250/261 | 252/262 | -2/-1 |
| Alicant | 212/238 | 216/243 | -4/-5 | 205/205 | $m v$ |  | 244/261 | 245/262 | -1 |
| Bloodhound | 201/212 | 204/216 | -3/-4 | 205/205 | 210/210 | -5 | 239/261 | 241/262 | -3/-1 |
| Dr. Törnmark | 180/217 | 183/221 | -3/-4 | 226/230 | 232/236 | -6 | 235/261 | 235/262 | 0/-1 |
| Hinnonmäen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| keltainen | 180/236 | 183/241 | -3/-5 | 204/206 | 208/210 | -4 | 261/261 | 262/262 | -1 |
| Hönings früheste | 212/219 | $m v$ |  | 205/230 | 198/210/236 |  | 250/250 | 241/252 | +9/-2 |
| Invicta | 212/212 | 200/216 | +12/-4 | 205/205 | 210/210 | -5 | 239/250 | 241/252 | -2 |
| Jacob | 201/240 | $m v$ |  | 206/206 | 210/210 | -4 | 250/261 | 252/262 | -2/-1 |
| Kozachok | 221/225 | 225/229 | -4/-4 | 206/206 | 210/210 | -4 | 235/250 | 235/252 | 0/-2 |
| Mountain | 211/211 | $m v$ |  | 206/212 | 210/216 | -4 | 231/261 | 232/262 | -1 |
| Perle von |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Münchenberg | 191/238 | 194/243 | -3/-5 | 206/228 | $m v$ |  | 247/250 | 249/252 | -2 |
| Resistenta | 191/238 | 194/243 | -3/-5 | 206/206 | 210/210 | -4 | 243/250 | 241/249 | +2/+1 |
| Robustenta | 238/240 | 243/245 | -5/-5 | 206/206 | 210/210 | -4 | 243/261 | 245/262 | -2/-1 |
| Smiling beauty | 221/236 | 225/241 | -4/-5 | 205/205 | 210/210 | -5 | 250/261 | 252/262 | -2/-1 |
| Spine free | 200/200 | 202/202 | -2 | 212/214 | 216/218 | -4 | 237/261 | 239/262 | -2/-1 |
| Trasher white | 180/212 | $m v$ |  | 205/205 | 208/208 | -3 | 239/261 | 241/262 | -2/-1 |
| Worchesterberry | 198/225 | 200/200 | -2/+25 | 202/205 | 208/208 | -6/-3 | 237/250 | 239/252 | -2/-2 |

### 4.4. Genetic diversity

Observed heterozygosity (Table 4) is slightly higher than expected heterozygosity for the 9 loci e1-O01, g1-K04, g1-M07, g2-B20, g2-G12, g2-H21, g2-L17, MTT-5, and RLJ-11. This is also reflected in the mean value of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$.

The fixation index is close to zero among 10 loci, while 5 loci deviate somewhat. The marker RLJ-7 has a fixation index of 0.37, and e4-D03 0.26. The marker e1-O01 has a value of -0.24, g1-K04-0.33, and g2-L17-0.19. Averaged over all loci, the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ are very similar, and the fixation index is very close to zero.

Table 4. Observed heterozygosity $\left(H_{o}\right)$, expected heterozygosity $\left(H_{e}\right)$, and fixation index for the 15 different markers. Na stands for "Number of alleles", which displays the number of unique alleles detected in each SSR locus.

| Locus | Na | Ho | He | F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e1-O01 | 8 | 0.73 | 0.59 | -0.24 |
| e1-021 | 8 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| e4-D03 | 10 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.26 |
| g1-A01 | 13 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.05 |
| g1-K04 | 9 | 0.93 | 0.70 | -0.33 |
| g1-M07 | 13 | 0.79 | 0.73 | -0.07 |
| g2-B20 | 5 | 0.42 | 0.39 | -0.10 |
| g2-G12 | 2 | 0.17 | 0.16 | -0.09 |
| g2-H21 | 10 | 0.56 | 0.54 | -0.04 |
| g2-J08 | 9 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.04 |
| g2-L17 | 15 | 0.76 | 0.64 | -0.19 |
| gr2-J05 | 7 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.08 |
| MTT-5 | 24 | 0.88 | 0.87 | -0.01 |
| RLJ-7 | 14 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.37 |
| RLJ-11 | 14 | 0.83 | 0.79 | -0.05 |
| Mean |  | 0.59 | 0.58 | -0.01 |

### 4.5. Proximities between accessions (PCoA)

The proximities between the accessions are visualized in a two-dimensional principal coordinate analysis ordination plot (Figure 1), where the percentage of variation is explained by the principal coordinates. PCoA axis 1 accounts for $20 \%$ of the variation of the data, axis 2 accounts for $13 \%$ of the variation, and axis 3 (not presented in the plot) accounts for $10 \%$ of the variation. Individuals positioned closer to each other have lower dissimilarity values than objects placed further apart. In this case, accessions far apart display more genetic divergence than accessions closer to each other. Duplicates and accessions with missing values were excluded from the PCoA.

The results indicate some structure within the analyzed plant material. The PCoA analysis revealed the presence of a relatively more homogenous group (accentuated with a red circle in Figure 1) compared to the rest of the analyzed samples. This group is formed by the following accessions: ; ‘POM 2', 'POM 9', 'POM 10', 'POM 14', 'POM 15', 'POM 16', 'POM 17', 'POM 19', 'POM 23', 'POM 24', 'POM 28', 'POM 29', 'POM 31', 'POM 38', 'POM 40', 'Alicant', 'Björn K.', 'Flottskär gröna', 'Hönings früheste', 'Invicta', 'Landströms gröna - Avvikande', ‘Linda', 'Linköping', 'Lottas', 'Mette P.', 'POM gården 1', 'POM gården 2', ‘POM okänd 2', 'Ronja', 'Sandgren', 'Smiling beauty', ‘Snickarmoa',
'Sofiero 3', 'Sofiero 4', 'Sofiero 5', 'Stor buske - Päronträd', 'Stora busken - Landet', 'Söderbrunn', 'Trasher White' and 'Villa Virginia'. There are also 7 mandate cultivars within this homogenous group: 'Akilles', 'Aaron', 'Bloodhound', 'Jacob', 'Catharina Ohlenburg', 'Landströms gröna' and 'London'.

There are also some accessions positioned to the far right of the plot (accentuated with a green circle in Figure 1) displaying high dissimilarities (high genetic divergence) compared to the accessions within the cluster. These accessions and inventory findings are 'POM 5', 'POM 20', 'POM 25', 'POM 39', 'Dr. Törnmark', 'Kerstin M.', 'Kozachok', 'Mountain', 'Robustenta', 'Scania', 'Spine free' and 'Åsgatan'. Among these, 'Dr. Törnmark', 'Mountain' and 'Scania' are mandate cultivars.


Figure 1. Principal coordinate analysis ( PCoA ) ordination computed from a distance matrix. Each blue dot represents one of the 86 accessions with a unique SSR profile. In total, 9 duplicates and 10 accessions with missing values were excluded from the principal coordinate analysis and are not displayed in this plot.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. Methodology

The SSR primer pairs used in this study generally amplified clearly and easily scored alleles. However, ten cultivars in this project had missing data for alleles in one or more loci, and therefore they had to be excluded from the statistical analyses. PCR could fail due to technical reasons such as poor DNA quality or low concentration, however, in these cases, there was no remarkable difference in DNA concentrations or quality compared to the rest of the analyzed cultivars. The excluded cultivars will be analyzed again within a successive project.

Missing data or inaccurate data where the observed genotype does not correspond to the true genotype of an individual can be a result of genotyping errors (Bonin et al. 2004). Genotyping errors are important to take into account to avoid erroneous conclusions. Understanding the consequences of possible genotyping errors helps to prevent and limit their occurrence. The four different factors causing genotyping errors are; errors linked to the DNA sequence (such as null alleles), errors caused by low DNA quality or low DNA concentration, biochemical artifacts, and human errors (Pompanon et al. 2005).

According to Dakin \& Avise (2004), null alleles are alleles at an SSR locus that do not amplify to detected levels via PCR (despite repeated attempts). They are problematic since they can create false homozygotes. There are two potential biological reasons for null alleles. One reason could be poor primer annealing because of nucleotide sequence divergences such as indels or point mutations. Null alleles (or in this case, 'partial nulls') can also be generated due to differential amplification if the alleles have different sizes. Shorter fragments tend to amplify more efficiently than larger fragments during PCR. This may result in detection of only the smallest allele (Wattier et al. 1998). This problem can be avoided by loading more of the sample.

The possible presence of null alleles should be considered when interpreting the data. Several cultivars that are called as homozygotes might be heterozygotes for null-allele and can therefore be similar to other cultivars with similar, but not identical, SSR-profiles.

The phenomenon of an allele having an insufficient amplification is called allelic dropout. Allelic dropout affects allele frequency and decreases the observed heterozygosity, thus increasing the level of inbreeding at the specific loci. The observed molecular genotype will therefore not correspond with the actual genotype. Preferential amplification can occur if the Taq-polymerase is limiting, the DNA is degraded or if there is a mismatch between the primer and the allele template (Walsh et al. 1992). If the amplification of the smaller allele is preferential in a heterozygous genotype, the peak of the larger allele might be smaller in an electropherogram, or both may fail to amplify. Large-allelic dropout is solely linked to allele size, but allelic dropout can also occur in low-quality samples when the DNA concentration is very low (de Woody et al. 2006; Hunt 2009).

Biochemical artifacts are another cause of genotyping error. A biochemical artifact is a result that does not correspond to the true genotype and arises from the technical process. Taq-polymerase used when preparing the DNA for PCR, can sometimes carry out a nontemplated nucleotide addition to the $3^{\prime}$-end of a synthesized strand. This non-templated nucleotide (usually an adenine) can result in an artefactual band on a gel, or a peak in a genotyping assay (Pompanon et al. 2005). Taq-polymerase can also cause replication slippage and lead to SSR instability, which generates "stutter bands" (de Woody et al. 2006; Castillo-Lizardo et al. 2014). This aggravates the interpretation of the electrophoretic output.

Human errors are another cause of genotyping errors. Extensive handling of samples and data can lead to a mix-up of samples/data, contamination, or pipetting errors. Manual scoring of data is also a possible source of errors. In this study, the alleles were manually called based on evaluation of the peaks in an electropherogram and the expertise of the researcher influences the number of scoring errors. The difficulty of allele-calling can, however, be reduced by having high-quality data (Pompanon et al. 2005).

One way to improve data quality is through the process of binning. Binning is referred to as a pre-processing method for data averaging and is used to reduce the effects of minor observation inaccuracies (Amos et al. 2006). When it comes to allele binning in SSR markers, alleles mostly need to be assigned different sizes and put into the corresponding allele "bin" (Idury \& Cardon 1997). The process of binning can be a source of errors and poor binning can have an impact on the identification of individuals and their parental lines.

Different fragments of the same allele can differ in size with 1 base pair, thus reduce the accuracy of binning. The allele length can also be altered if a mutation occurs in the flanking sequence (Amos et al. 2006). This affects the allele frequencies estimates as well as peak alignment during marker calling. The mobility of a DNA fragment depends on both sequence and length. GC-content (genomic DNA base composition), mostly associated with the stability of the DNA (Benjamini \& Speed 2012), can affect the mobility and a fragment with high GC content migrates differently from a fragment with low GC content.

Several of the accessions in this project had SSR-profiles with very high similarities, but differed from one another by 1-2 base pairs, or by being homo- or heterozygous at one locus. This difference can be caused by any of the previously mentioned genotyping errors. A minor mismatch in one or two SSR-locus can be accepted to count two genotypes as identical (Urrestarazu et al. 2016). 'POM 3', for example, differs from the other accessions with identical SSR-profiles by being homozygous at one locus. 'POM 3' may have failed to be identified as a heterozygote at this specific locus due to the presence of null alleles or if allelic dropout may have occurred.

Many of the inventory findings have been collected from private gardens, where the owner does not have the name of the cultivar. Private gardens are a valuable source of genetic resources, but one must keep in mind that since the entry of powdery mildew at the beginning of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, many old cultivars have disappeared.

A limitation of this project is that the inventory findings were only analyzed with molecular markers. Phenotypical analyses, together with the genotypical analyses, would have contributed with much more information on whether some accessions are indeed duplicates or sports. Therefore, molecular, and phenotypical characterization should be combined.

### 5.2. Identification of cultivars and duplicates

The cultivars 'Worchester’ (POM) and 'Worchesterberry’ (Balsgård), 'Achilles’ (Balsgård) and 'Akilles’ (Alnarp) and 'Alicant' (Alnarp and Balsgård) had similar or identical names but different SSR-profiles (class 4a). These pairs were expected to share identical SSR-profiles. When the SSR-profiles of each pair were compared individually, it was discovered that 'Achilles', 'Akilles', and 'Alicant' from Balsgård and Alnarp had very similar profiles but differed at several loci with only 1-2 base pairs. There could be various reasons for this, it could for example be a large-allelic dropout, a mutation in flanking
regions, or a non-templated nucleotide addition caused by the Taq-polymerase. These accessions should therefore be considered as possible identical cultivars.
'Worchester' from POM and 'Worchesterberry' from Balsgård have similar names but did not share the same SSR-profile. When the profiles were compared individually, the differences were significant, and these accessions are most likely different cultivars.
'POM 20', 'POM 34', 'Hinnonmäen keltainen', ‘Olavi' and 'Smedjebacken’ shared the same SSR profile and most probably all represent the same cultivar, ('POM 3' is also considered identical to these accessions.) 'Hinnonmäen keltainen', commonly named 'Hinnonmäki gul' is a common cultivar in Sweden, denoted as a mandate cultivar. 'Olavi' is described in the literature as a completely different cultivar (Larsson, 1961) and was not expected to share SSR-profile with 'Hinnonmäen keltainen'. The inventory finding 'Olavi', was collected from a private garden, while 'Hinnonmäen keltainen' at Balsgård was received from The Natural Resources Institute of Finland (Luke). Since 'Hinnonmäen keltainen' was obtained from a more reliable source, 'Olavi' is most likely mislabeled. The accession 'Smedjebacken' was collected from a private garden and is most probably also mislabeled. This result suggests that all accessions above are, in fact, 'Hinnonmäen keltainen'.
'POM 4'and 'POM 38' had almost identical SSR-profiles, but 'POM 38' was heterozygous at one locus where 'POM 4' was homozygous. These accessions are considered as identical.
'POM 8', 'POM 35', 'Industry' and 'Sofiero 7' shared a similar SSR-profile. 'POM 16' had a very similar SSR-profile and was considered identical with these four accessions. 'Industry', also called 'Whinham's industry' was introduced by Robert Whinham in 1858 (Tunblad 1985). There is reason to believe that all the analyzed accessions with identical SSR-profiles are 'Whinhams industry'.

The SSR-profile of 'Landströms gröna - Avvikande' was different from the accession 'Landströms gröna' from Alnarp and Balsgård, which was expected. This confirms that it might be a seedling from 'Landströms gröna' or another cultivar.

### 5.3. Allelic diversity

Loci with high variability, i.e., high allele number and with more even distribution of allele frequencies, are more useful since they contribute with more information than loci with uneven distribution of allele frequencies, e.g., those where one or two alleles are very common, and the rest are found at low frequency. The locus MTT-5 had 24 different alleles with frequencies ranging from 0.006 to 0.268 . This marker proved to be very useful for differentiating between accessions. Markers such as g1-K04, RLJ-11, RLJ-7, g1-A01, and g1-M07 do also display high variability and have proved very useful. Contrary, markers g2-L17, g2-J08, gr2-J05, g2-H21, and e1-O01 have high allele frequencies for few alleles which makes them less efficient as markers.

Based on both allele frequency and the number of alleles, the markers g2-B20, e1-021, and g2-G12 are the least efficient for genetic fingerprinting among gooseberry accessions. For all these markers, 1-2 alleles are fixed in the studied plant collection.

There were several differences in allele sizes when the data from this study were compared to the data from a previous study by Gunnarsson (2009) (Table 4). The differences in size of the amplified fragments were 1-12 base pairs. For example, the cultivar 'Invicta' had allele sizes 205/205 using the marker RLJ-7, while the same cultivar had allele sizes $210 / 210$ in Gunnarsson's study. The size of the alleles differs with 5 base pairs, but both are homozygous. Only three major differences could be found. The cultivars 'Hönings Früheste' (RLJ-11) and 'Invicta' (MTT-5) were homozygous in this study, but heterozygous in Gunnarsson's study, while 'Worchester berry' (MTT-5) were homozygous in Gunnarsson's study and heterozygous in this study. The cultivars 'Achilles' (MTT-5), and 'Hönings früheste' (RLJ-7) were attributed as triploid in Gunnarsson's study. A third peak was also present in this study for each cultivar, but it was considered a stutter peak.

True alleles could be expected to differ with one base pair due to the occurrence of mutations in DNA flanking regions (Guarino et al. 2006), but in this case, the allele size discrepancy ranged from 1-12 base pairs. A likely explanation for these discrepancies is experimental artifacts and differences in binning procedures. The compared accessions that differed by being homo- or heterozygous at several loci are most likely different due to allelic dropout or null alleles. Taking all these possible causes for allele size differences into consideration is important when results from different studies are pooled together, to avoid inaccurate output data.

### 5.4. Genetic diversity within the plant collection

High expected heterozygosity $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ implies a high level of genetic variability, while low heterozygosity indicates low genetic variability. If the observed heterozygosity is lower than expected in an outcrossing species, this might indicate inbreeding. In this study, the mean values of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ are close to each other. However, 9 loci displayed somewhat higher observed heterozygosity than expected heterozygosity. This indicates that these loci do not comply with the Hardy-Weinberg principles. Forces such as non-random mating can disturb the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Gooseberry is an outcrossing plant (Lim 2012). This might be the reason why $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is higher than $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the majority of the SSR markers. High genetic variability is desirable when establishing a germplasm collection, especially a core collection. However, it is important to consider that the presence of null alleles can influence the diversity indexes, especially the estimates of observed heterozygosity.

The fixation index is close to zero among 10 loci, while loci RLJ-7 and e4-D03 deviate by having a higher positive value. This indicates that un-detected null-alleles could exist in these loci. The markers e1-O01, g1-K04 and g2-L17 differentiate by having a higher, negative fixation index compared to other markers, which may indicate an excess of heterozygosity due to negative assortative mating. Negative assortative mating can lead to an increase of heterozygosity in a population since individuals with different phenotypes are more likely to mate than individuals with similar phenotypes (Hedrick, 2016).

### 5.5. PCoA

An area could be identified within the PCoA-plot, where the accessions were more homogenous, compared to the rest of the studied cultivars. An explanation as to why these accessions were more homogenous could not be found in the literature. It is possible that the accessions within the group are related somehow, and therefore display more genetic similarities. It is, however, difficult to draw such a conclusion based on data from a lowdensity marker system. Application of high-density single nucleotide polymorphism markers (SNPs) allowed more detailed clarification of genetic relationships among Swedish mandate cultivars in apple (Skytte af Sätra et al., 2020). Thus, further analyses of a larger number of individuals with SNP markers would help to clarify parent-offspring and other types of relationships in the gooseberry germplasm.

Accessions far apart display more genetic divergence than accessions closer to each other In this case, 'POM 5', 'POM 20', 'POM 25', 'POM 39', 'Dr. Törnmarck', 'Kerstin M.', 'Kozachok', 'Mountain', 'Robustenta’, 'Scania', 'Spine free' and 'Åsgatan' (positioned to the far right of the plot) display the highest genetic divergence compared to the accessions with more genetic similarities. Interestingly, 'Dr. Törnmarck', 'Mountain' and 'Scania' are all cultivars with an American background (Hjalmarsson \& Wallace 2007; Hjalmarsson 2020; Niggli \& Frei 2019). As to date, only ‘Dr. Törnmarck', 'Kozachok', 'Mountain' and 'Scania' in this deviating group are denoted as mandate cultivars. If the collection aims to cover up as much genetic diversity and resources as possible, it would be a good idea to include accessions that are more distantly related.

### 5.6. Conclusion

The present work uncovered several cases of duplication among the inventory findings and one, possible case of mislabeling. All mandate cultivars in Pom's collection at Alnarp had individual SSR-profiles, which was expected since these accessions previously have been identified through phenotypical analyses. This study furthermore revealed that 6 SSR markers (MTT-5, g1-K04, RLJ-11, RLJ-7, g1-A01 and g1-M07) were more effective compared to the others and proved very useful for distinguishing between gooseberry accessions and describing the variability of the gooseberry germplasm. The data constitutes an important basis for evaluation and addition of accessions to the present collection of mandate cultivars and for removal of duplicates among the inventory findings.

### 5.7. Future outlook

In this study, 105 accessions and inventory findings were analyzed. The results are valuable for the preservation of gooseberry cultivars that are a part of Sweden's pomological heritage. It would, however, be interesting to perform a molecular characterization on remaining inventory findings and accessions from other, interesting sources. Molecular characterization of the inventory findings could also be complemented with a phenotypical characterization.

Further research will be done in an upcoming project that aims to study genetic diversity, identify duplicates and mislabeling, and hopefully will also reveal parent-offspring
relatedness. The remaining Swedish cultivars will be analyzed along with cultivars from collections in the Ukraine, Latvia, and Denmark. The result from the upcoming study will be compared with the results of this study.

At the moment, there is no standardized way for analyzing the germplasm of Ribes. Primers with high reproducibility and consistency that can be used to differentiate between different genotypes could for example be used as an international, molecular standard. If analyzation of Ribes germplasm was conformed to a standard, it would be easier to compare and pool together results from different studies, which would benefit all parties.
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## Appendix 1

SSR profiles of 105 gooseberry cultivars generated with 15 different primer pairs.
Missing values are denoted as mv and the asterisk (*) denotes mandate cultivars. (See page 36. )

## 










| Analysed | aceessions | $\mathrm{g}^{2}$-421 |  | ${ }^{\text {22-J08 }}$ |  | 92-L17 |  | gr2-J05 |  | MTT-5 |  | RLJ-7 |  | RLJ-11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PoM 1 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 136 | 136 | 164 | 170 | ${ }^{201}$ | 219 | 206 | 230 | 250 | 261 |
| 2 | POM 2 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 219 | 205 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 3 | Pom 3 | 246 | 254 | 149 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 180 | 180 | 204 | 206 | 261 | 261 |
| 4 | ром 4 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 153 | 164 | 164 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 5 | PoM 5 | 246 | 272 | 149 | 156 | ${ }^{136}$ | 138 | 164 | 178 | 200 | 213 | 204 | 206 | 243 | ${ }^{261}$ |
| 6 | Pom6 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 206 | 230 | 243 | 250 |
| 7 | ром 7 | 250 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 136 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 191 | 201 | 206 | 206 | 247 | 250 |
| 8 | PoM 8 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
|  | ром9 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 206 | 230 | 243 | 250 |
| 10 | Ром 10 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 128 | 170 | 178 | 207 | 212 | ${ }^{205}$ | 218 | 250 | 252 |
| 11 | POM 11 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 118 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 238 | 242 | ${ }^{223}$ | 236 | 239 | 250 |
| 12 | POM 12 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 136 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 219 | 205 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 13 | PoM 13 | 246 | 246 | 154 | 156 | 118 | 136 | 164 | 174 | 215 | 240 | 230 | 236 | 239 | 250 |
| 14 | PоM 14 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 221 | 236 | 205 | 205 | 244 | 250 |
| 15 | POM 15 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | ${ }^{120}$ | ${ }^{136}$ | 164 | 170 | 212 | 219 | 205 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 16 | PoM 16 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 17 | Pom 17 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | ${ }^{120}$ | 136 | 164 | 170 | ${ }^{212}$ | 219 | 205 | ${ }^{205}$ | 243 | ${ }^{261}$ |
| 18 | PoM 19 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | ${ }^{136}$ | 164 | 170 | 201 | 213 | 206 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 19 | Ром 20 | 246 | 254 | 149 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 180 | 236 | 204 | 206 | 261 | 261 |
| 20 | POM 22 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | ${ }^{136}$ | 164 | 164 | 213 | 225 | 206 | 236 | 250 | 250 |
| ${ }^{21}$ | Pom 23 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | ${ }^{120}$ | 120 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 206 | 206 | 244 | 250 |
| 22 | POM 24 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 154 154 | 120 136 | 136 | 170 | 170 | ${ }^{201}$ | 212 | 206 | 230 | 243 | 250 |
| 23 | POM 25 | 260 | 262 | 149 | 154 | ${ }^{136}$ | 146 | 164 | 164 | 197 | 240 | 230 | 230 | 261 | ${ }^{261}$ |
| 24 | POM 26 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 219 | 225 | 205 | 230 | 250 | 250 |
| 25 | PoM 27 | 254 | 262 | 154 | 158 | ${ }^{120}$ | 136 | 164 | 170 | 209 | 240 | ${ }^{214}$ | ${ }^{220}$ | 239 | ${ }^{261}$ |
| 26 | POM 28 | 254 | ${ }^{254}$ | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 244 | 250 |
| 27 | POM 29 | 246 | 254 | 154 154 154 | 154 154 154 | ${ }^{136}$ | ${ }^{136}$ | 164 | 170 | ${ }^{212}$ | 219 | 205 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 28 | Pom 30 | 246 | 254 | 154 | ${ }^{154}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | 136 | 164 | 170 | ${ }^{238}$ | ${ }^{240}$ | 230 | 230 | 239 | ${ }^{242}$ |
| 29 | Ром 31 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | ${ }^{205}$ | ${ }^{239}$ | ${ }^{261}$ |
| 30 | Ром 32 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 212 | 212 | 204 | 206 | 229 | 250 |
| 31 | PoM 33 Pou 34 | 254 | $\begin{array}{r}254 \\ \hline 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{149}^{149}$ | 156 156 156 | 120 120 | 136 136 | 164 164 | 178 170 | 197 180 | ${ }_{2}^{215}$ | 206 204 | ${ }_{2206}^{223}$ | 239 | 250 261 |
| ${ }_{33}^{32}$ | Pom 34 Pon 35 | ${ }_{254}^{246}$ | $\begin{array}{r}254 \\ \hline 254 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 149 <br> 154 | 156 154 1 | 120 120 | 136 120 | 164 164 | 170 170 | 180 212 | 236 212 | 204 205 | 206 205 | 261 239 | 261 250 |
| 34 | POM 36 | 254 | ${ }_{254}^{254}$ | ${ }_{154}$ | ${ }_{154}$ | 120 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 212 | 212 | 204 | 206 | 229 | 250 |
| 35 | Ром 37 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 238 | 240 | 230 | 230 | 239 | 239 |
| 36 | Ром 38 | 246 | ${ }^{254}$ | 154 | ${ }^{156}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | 153 | 164 | 164 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 37 | Pom 39 | 250 | 254 | 156 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 164 | 238 | 240 | 206 | 206 | 243 | 261 |
|  | POM 40 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 219 | 225 | 205 | 230 | 250 | 250 |
| 39 | PoM 41 | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 219 | 225 | 205 | 230 | 250 | 250 |
| 40 | Achilles * (Balsgrard) | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 137 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 41 | Akilles * (Alnap) | 254 | 254 | 154 | ${ }^{156}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | ${ }^{136}$ | 164 | 170 | 201 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 260 |
| 42 | Alicant (Balsgagrd) | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 212 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 244 | 261 |
|  | Alicant (Alarap) | 254 | 254 | 154 | $\begin{array}{r}156 \\ \hline 154\end{array}$ | ${ }^{120}$ | ${ }^{136}$ | 170 | 170 | 212 | 238 | 205 | ${ }^{205}$ | 244 | ${ }^{261}$ |
| 44 | Aaron * | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 239 |
| 45 | Bjïm K. | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | ${ }^{136}$ | 170 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 230 | 250 | 261 |
| 46 | Bloodhound *(Balsgard) | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | ${ }^{136}$ | ${ }^{136}$ | 164 | 164 | 201 | 212 | 205 | ${ }^{205}$ | 239 | 261 |
| 47 | Bloodhound * (Alaap) | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | ${ }^{136}$ | 136 | 164 | 164 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 261 |
|  | Dr. Tömmarck * | 254 | 256 | 149 | 156 | ${ }^{120}$ | 128 | 170 | 178 | 180 | 217 | 226 | 230 | 235 | 261 |
|  | Flotskiar froina | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 50 | Flotskair roda | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 236 | 206 | 206 | 250 | 261 |
| 51 | Frick | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 118 | 120 | 164 | 174 | 225 | 240 | 223 | 230 | 242 | 250 |
| 52 | Himommien keltainen * | 246 | 254 | 149 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 180 | 236 | 204 | 206 | 261 | 261 |
| 53 | Houghon | 254 | 271 | 149 | 156 | $m v$ | mv | 164 | 178 | 200 | 213 | 204 | 206 | 229 | 261 |



| Analysed | accessions | 92-H21 |  | g2-J08 |  | 92-L17 |  | gr2-J05 |  | MTT-5 |  | RLJ-7 |  | RLJ-11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Hönings Früheste | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 219 | 205 | 230 | 250 | 250 |
| 55 | Industry * | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 56 | Invicta | 246 | 254 | 153 | 153 | 120 | 153 | 164 | 164 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 57 | Jakob * | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 240 | 206 | 206 | 250 | 261 |
| 58 | Kalvstorp | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 118 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 213 | 238 | 230 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 59 | Catharina Ohlenburg * | 254 | 256 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 239 |
| 60 | Kerstin M. | 246 | 271 | 149 | 156 | 136 | 138 | 164 | 178 | 200 | 213 | 204 | 206 | 244 | 261 |
| 61 | Kopparbro | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 118 | 120 | 164 | 174 | 212 | 214 | 214 | 223 | 250 | 261 |
| 62 | Kozachok | 254 | 262 | 154 | 156 | 131 | 139 | 176 | 176 | 221 | 225 | 206 | 206 | 235 | 250 |
| 63 | Landströms gröna * (Balsgår) | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 219 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 244 |
| 64 | Landströms gröna * (Alnarp) | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 219 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 244 |
| 65 | Landströms gröna (Avvikande) | 246 | 254 | 154 | 160 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 186 | 201 | 217 | 205 | 205 | 243 | 261 |
| 66 | Lilla busken - Slata bär | 246 | 246 | 154 | 156 | 136 | 136 | $m v$ | $m v$ | 212 | 212 | 206 | 230 | 239 | 239 |
| 67 | Linda | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 223 | 225 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 250 |
| 68 | Linköping | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 216 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 69 | London * | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 70 | Lottas | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 236 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 71 | Mette P. | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 72 | Mountain * (Alnarp) | 254 | 258 | 154 | 156 | 132 | 145 | 170 | 178 | 211 | 211 | 206 | 212 | 231 | 261 |
| 73 | Mountain * (EPS) | 254 | 258 | 154 | 156 | 132 | 145 | 170 | 178 | 211 | 211 | 206 | 212 | 231 | 261 |
| 74 | Olavi | 246 | 254 | 149 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 180 | 236 | 204 | 206 | 261 | 261 |
| 75 | Perle von Münchenberg | 246 | 254 | 156 | 172 | 120 | 153 | 164 | 164 | 191 | 238 | 206 | 228 | 247 | 250 |
| 76 | POM garaden 1 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 206 | 230 | 243 | 250 |
| 77 | POM gàrden 2 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 206 | 230 | 243 | 250 |
| 78 | POM okänd 1 | 246 | 246 | 154 | 154 | 136 | 136 | 164 | 164 | 219 | 240 | 205 | 230 | 241 | 250 |
| 79 | POM okänd 2 | 254 | 256 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 80 | Resistenta | 246 | 254 | 156 | 172 | 120 | 153 | 164 | 164 | 191 | 238 | 206 | 206 | 243 | 250 |
| 81 | Robustenta | 250 | 254 | 156 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 164 | 238 | 240 | 206 | 206 | 243 | 261 |
| 82 | Ronja | 248 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 195 | 212 | 206 | 206 | 250 | 250 |
| 83 | Roskil | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 178 | 215 | 225 | 206 | 206 | 250 | 261 |
| 84 | Sandgren | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 206 | 230 | 243 | 250 |
| 85 | Scania | 254 | 271 | 149 | 156 | 138 | 141 | 164 | 178 | 200 | 213 | 204 | 206 | 229 | 261 |
| 86 | Smedjebacken | 246 | 254 | 149 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 180 | 236 | 204 | 206 | 261 | 261 |
| 87 | Smiling beauty | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 221 | 236 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 88 | Snickarmoa | 254 | 254 | 154 | 158 | 136 | 136 | 164 | 164 | 201 | 209 | 205 | 205 | 244 | 250 |
| 89 | Sofiero 3 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 212 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 243 | 261 |
| 90 | Sofiero 4 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | $m v$ | $m v$ | 205 | 205 | 239 | 239 |
| 91 | Sofiero 5 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 238 | 205 | 205 | 250 | 261 |
| 92 | Sofiero 6 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 239 |
| 93 | Sofiero 7 | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 212 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 250 |
| 94 | Spine free | 260 | 271 | 149 | 154 | 133 | 138 | 178 | 178 | 200 | 200 | 212 | 214 | 237 | 261 |
| 95 | Stor buske - Päronträd | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 230 | 239 | 239 |
| 96 | Stora busken - Landet | 254 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 239 |
| 97 | Söderbrunn | 254 | 256 | 154 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 164 | 170 | 201 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 244 |
| 98 | Trasher white | 246 | 254 | 149 | 154 | 120 | 136 | 164 | 164 | 180 | 212 | 205 | 205 | 239 | 261 |
| 99 | Villa Virginia | 246 | 254 | 154 | 156 | 120 | 136 | 170 | 170 | 212 | 215 | 205 | 230 | 250 | 261 |
| 100 | Worchester | 254 | 256 | 154 | 170 | 136 | 136 | 177 | 177 | 212 | 219 | 205 | 210 | 237 | 250 |
| 101 | Worchesterberry | 246 | 246 | 154 | 162 | 120 | 149 | 164 | 164 | 198 | 225 | 202 | 205 | 237 | 250 |
| 102 | Ångermanlands röda * | 246 | 246 | 154 | 154 | 118 | 136 | 164 | 164 | $m v$ | $m v$ | 205 | 223 | 239 | 241 |
| 103 | Åsgatan | 254 | 271 | 149 | 156 | 120 | 138 | 164 | 178 | 200 | 213 | 204 | 206 | 229 | 261 |
| 104 | Österhammarsbruk | 246 | 262 | 154 | 154 | 118 | 136 | 164 | 170 | 240 | 240 | 230 | 230 | 239 | 250 |
| 105 | Övertjärn | 246 | 254 | 154 | 154 | 118 | 120 | 164 | 164 | 225 | 238 | 223 | 223 | 241 | 241 |

## Appendix 2

Allele frequencies with graphs by locus for codominant data.
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